

UNITED STATE EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/522,484	03/10/00	MECHACHE		S	1304.72US02
_			\neg	EXAMINER	
024113		PM82/0328			
PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN,			TRETTEL	M	
4800 IDS CE			,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
80 SOUTH 8TH MINNEAPOLIS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100		3628 DATE MAILED:	#93
			•		03/28/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
,	09/522,484	MECHACHE, SUSAN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Trettel	3628					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	July 2000 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	nis action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,10-13 and 18-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9,14-17 and 22-25</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to	to by the Examiner.						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachment(s)							
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) 🔲 Interview Summar	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/522,484

Art Unit: 3628

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes only. Formal drawings will be required when the application is allowed.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "18" and "19" have both been used to designate the topper pad. Correction is required.

Specification

The use of the trademark Velcro has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magnusson in view of Walker (4,890,344). Magnusson shows a knockdown mattress assembly

· Application/Control Number: 09/522,484

Art Unit: 3628

that comprises a continuous border wall 14 that includes an outer side 16, a top 18, and a bottom 20. A pair of mattress assemblies as shown in Figure 6 can be placed within the perimeter defined by the border wall 14. A cover that includes a removable pillow top 36 can be used to enclose the mattress pads within the border and is attached to the border wall by a fastener 30. As shown in Figure 3 a removable foam topper pad 40 that extends across the mattress pads and onto the border wall can be placed under the pillow top. Magnusson discloses that the mattress pads can be made inflatable, however no disclosure is made concerning the possibility of making the pads adjustably inflatable. Walker teaches that it is well known in the mattress art to make a mattress that includes a pair of separately inflatable mattress pads retained within a border that is quite similar o the mattress assembly shown by Magnusson. In the Walker mattress the mattress pads are independently inflatable and can their pressure set by means of a pump and control unit that is exterior of the mattress. This allows each user of the mattress to independently set the support offered by his/her mattress pad. Because of this it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to use a pair of separate and adjustably inflatable mattress pads with a pump and control unit as taught by Walker within the overall mattress assembly shown by Magnusson.

Claims 3 to 5, 10 to 13, and 18 to 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Magnusson in view of Walker as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Boyd. Boyd teaches that a composite mattress system similar to the Magnusson mattress can include a side wall and bottom assembly that forms a foam cavity 19. The cavity includes integrated side walls 21 that enclose removable mattress pad elements that combine with the base layer of the cavity to form the total height of the mattress assembly. The unitary cavity assembly

Application/Control Number: 09/522,484

Art Unit: 3628

is cheaper and easier to fabricate than the spring border assembly 24 used in the Magnusson mattress assembly, in addition the Boyd mattress use's a completely removable cover assembly 23 that can be separated from the mattress assembly for cleaning if needed. Because of this it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to have replaced the spring border/integrated cover assembly as used within the Magnusson mattress with a foam cavity and separable cover as taught by Boyd.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6 to 9, 14 to 17, and 22 to 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Walker (4,991,244), Shoenhair, Dotson, Eady, and Young et al show composite mattress assemblies that are of particular interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Trettel whose telephone number is 703-308-0416. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, or Friday from 7.30 am to 5.00 pm.

· Application/Control Number: 09/522,484

Art Unit: 3628

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry Melius, can be reached on (703) 308-2171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-3687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1020.

Michael Trettel

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3628